

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/910,054	07/23/2001	Mayumi Tomikawa	522.1921D	4839
21171 75	90 05/04/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			MORAN, MARJORIE A	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1631	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/910,054	TOMIKAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT to, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  "HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/7.	/06.						
	is action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-11,21 and 24-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-11,21 and 24-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documer     Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International Bures  * Coordinate of the priority documents	ority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	icor ine certinea copies not r						
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1631

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/28/05 has been entered. The amendment filed 2/7/06 has also been entered. Claims 5-11, 21, 24-27 are pending. All rejections and objections not reiterated below are hereby withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-11, 21, 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5 and 25 recite a step of generating a combination of correspondences between two point sets by generating a combination based on minimized rmsd values between the point sets. Claims 5 and 25 also recite, in a subsequent step, calculating an rmsd between elements belonging to first and second point sets. It is unclear if these are intended to be two different steps of calculating rmsd between the point sets, or if one is intended to further limit the other. One skilled in the art would normally

Art Unit: 1631

calculate rmsd between point sets BEFORE minimizing the distances, therefore if one step is intended to minimize rmsd values previously calculated for the same point sets, then the steps are recited out of order in the claim. However, if the steps are intended to be separate calculations, wherein one calculates rmsd between (larger) point sets and the other is directed to calculating rmsd between elements of those point sets; i.e. between subsets of each larger set, to thus refine the combination of correspondence, then this is not clearly reflected in the claim.

Claims 5, 21 and 25 recite setting a predetermined threshold value, but fail to recite what the threshold value represents (i.e. a threshold for WHAT?). Claims 5, 21 and 25 also recite pruning a retrieval path. However, as no retrieval path is previously calculated, defined, described, predicted, etc., it is unclear what is being pruned. Claims 5, 21 and 25 recite the term "candidate" twice in the second paragraph, but nowhere recite what a point is intended to be a candidate FOR. Claims 5, 21 and 25 further recite that a point is a candidate if an attribute of an element of the first point set includes a particular property that coincides with an attribute of an element of the first point set. As an attribute of an element would be expected to coincide with that same attribute, this limitation is nonsensical. For all of these reasons, claims 5, 21 and 25 are indefinite.

Claims 5 and 21 recite refining elements of point sets "based on" an attribute of elements of the two point sets, but fails to recite what parameters are required and/or applied to achieve the refinement; i.e. it is unclear what is to be eliminated/kept in the refining step.

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 5, 21 and 25, each in the penultimate paragraph, recite determining...based on,...and minimizing rmsd... It is unclear if applicant intends an active method step of minimizing rmsd or intends determining (similar portions) based

determining is intended by the term "based on".

Claims 6-11 and 24 recite the phrase "the condition" which lacks antecedent basis in the claims. Further, as parent claim 5 has been amended to remove the term "condition", therefore it is unclear what further limitation of claim 5 is intended.

on . minimized rmsd. It is further unclear what relationship and/or parameters for

Claim 21 recites the phrase "the condition" in line 14 which lacks antecedent basis in the claims.

## **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marjorie A. Moran whose telephone number is (571) 272-0720. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon,Wed: 7-1:30; Tue,Thur: 7:30-6; Fri 7-3:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1631

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner

Sayous a - Horax 5/1/06

Art Unit 1631